G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

LR. 16 - 1871
LR. 10 - 1887
LR. 8 - 1888
LR. 65 - 1891

Later references.

1894-95-
~~191~~ .
(L. R.)

File No. 64

Serial Nos. 1—4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 4

Part B for July 1903.

SUBJECT.

Refund of Excess of Chowthayi recovered under a Private Tank, from B. Ramachandriah.

Countermarked

W D 33—GPE—50,000—24-7-16

May issue at once. (2)

2/10/1902

re 3/14/02

The further report called for is submitted by the Comptroller in office note readiness is put up.

8
3/7/03.

R.J. 4 of 92.

Sub-File no: VI

Subject-

The Refund of Excess of Stamp duty received under the Private Banks from M/s. Ramachandrudu

Paper pending

no: 2396/571 dt. 26.12.94 from D.C. Kolar.
with a draft order for approval

Submitted on 1.5.95

Mb submitted to the Secretary
M
16/6/02

May the draft
issue?
M 16/7/02

As no reminder has been received, please ask the Dy C whether the matter has since been disposed of, or not

As this calls for a further report, this order

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

Office Note.

File No. (if any) Sub-file VI

Directions: A.2.4.55 2-Branch Circular

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

1. Nov. 33.
54.
29.
55.
64.

Subject Letter No. 458-1 of 2.5.13 from the Collr. of Kolar stating refund. Gilli + 2 Remission Statement. Bhajanthri Ramachandraya was paying full assessment for the lands below his private tank, Kowthrumanahalli in the Mudabhane village of the Bagepalli Talukq until the Survey Settlement in 1885-6; and the Survey Supt. having Communicated his sanction to the grant of Chowthaye remission of assessment for his lands only, in Novr 1889, Ramachandraya, also had paid up Kandayam as detailed below, claimed on December 1890, the remission of 1/4th assessment from the year of Settlement. Upon reference to the Survey Superintendent

he held that the remission should be granted from the year of Settlement. But, as will be seen from the Statement below, the Chowtharpe amount had been brought to demand for the years 1885-6, 1886-7 & 1887-8 but was only deducted in the accounts from 1888-9.

Year	Demand.	Amount Paid.	Remt due
1885-6	218-8-9.	218-8-9	27-8-0
1886-7	191-4-0	0-0-0	27-8-0
1887-8	191-4-0	234-8-9.	27-8-0
1888-9	182-12-0	28-6-0.	deducted
1889-0.	182-12-0.	402-8-9.	-00-

In these circumstances the BC solicited the sanction of Govt. for the refund of ~~[illegible]~~ 82-8-0 for the 3 years 1885-6 to 1887-8 at the rate of 27-8-0 a year. But it was pointed out to him that the entire demand for 1885-6 only having been paid up in full & remaining due, & was on that account entitled to a refund of 27-8-0, and, as he did not pay anything

R/4978 4.6.12

adjusted by paying back 0-4-9

(6) to Ramachandrappa —

submitted with a draft (for approval)

calling for the particulars of the lands on

account of which 1/4th remission has been granted

16/1
22/7.

in 1886-) and paid only Rs 234
in 1887-8 leaving a large balance
due to Govt. at the end of that year
the entire kandayam for 1886-) & 1887-8
were not paid in full and he
was not entitled to any refund on
account of these two years. Hence
the D.C. referred to revise his proposals.

According to the DC now submits
a refund bill for 2)8-0, being the
chowthayee for the year 1885-6 brought
to demand & paid up by Ramachandrappa
& a remission statement for Rs 55/-,
being the chowthayee amount for the
2 years 1886-) & 1887-8 brought to
demand but not paid up nor then
deducted from the accounts.

The DC has further reported
that, upto the end of Plava (1901-02),
the total amount of arrears against
Ramachandrappa's khata is Rs
82-3-3 and that, if the refund
bill & the remission statement
are sanctioned, it would be

Gen. 31.]

Section
Ser. No 611-1 8074
Serial No
For
16/1/95

From

1894 8874.
R 2413

To

Dated 16 January
Despatched 15-1-95
Received
General No. 2396
No. 241
Enclosure No.

General Receipt
Please put up the
Pro of 1893 Rejects

C 1/1

The Pro: rejects were sent
forwarded with Off Ltr 1893/93
the claim was passed by Supt Lowe
& Pro on 7/12/94 from the
Office (initial) previous to their leave

22/1/95

22/1/95

Inv Sur
W 1085 20.8.91
W 1085 541
P 1.6.91
10.1

× Via Cape Post Office Natal
Cable Service.

Submitted

18/1/95

full assessment has
been made from him
for 3 years from 1883-86
to 1887-88 before the
receipt of Government
order corrected in the
Demand Register
No 4909 dated 3rd September
1888 granting remission
of 1/4th of the assessment
on account of his
advanced namber.

The undersigned
Correspondence Reader
on the subject is
also herein enclosed
for reference and
which letter has been
no longer required.

[illegible]
Dy Commissioner

Ch

(4)

Draft for [illegible]

LR
64-1894
Serial No 2

Read:—

Docket No 2366 dated 571
26th December 1894 from
the Deputy Commissioner
of the Kolar District, fore-
:warding for sanction,
a refund Bill in favour
of the Bhagavantibada Rang-
~~Chandra, Rao~~: Chandraiya
for Rs 52-8-0 said to be
the excess amount of
Chavadi received from
him on account of land
held by him inclusive
Settlement land of
Acs 1-11 assessed in the
Kundalahalli Village of the
Bangalore taluk.

Submitted.

Government sanction

No R 1497 3/4/10/02

6.

(1)

particulars entered in the Statement as shewn in the margin. ~~Here again there is a difference of~~ ~~have been omitted in the~~ ~~3 pies, between in the balance~~ ~~total demand for Virodhi~~ ~~said to be due~~ The unauthenticated remark made in the Statement goes to shew that orders have been issued for the recovery of 6 pies being the excess over the amount to be refunded to Ramu-Chendraiya.

of the revenue
vadhani ——— 302-5-3
d for Virodhi — 182-12-0
Total 485-1-3
ions in 1889,
& 1891 ——— 402-8-9
Balance - 82-8-6

2. Turning to the transactions of the respective years, it appears that ~~all~~ the entire demand for Parthiva (1885-86) has been paid up thereby entitling Ramachendraiya for a refund, while nothing has been paid for Vyaya (1886-

due to Govt
the balance at the end of
Samvatsar being Rs 234-8-9.

3. Under such circumstances
it is not clear that anything
more than Rs 27-8-0 relating
to the year Parthivu has been
recovered from Ramachandraiya.
On the other hand, if the
transactions of the years
Parthivu to Virodhi are
to be taken as a whole
according to the Varumdar
Statement above referred
to, Ramachandraiya will
be entitled to a remission
of Rs 82-8-0 rather than a refund
as made out in the present
case. The Commissioner
is therefore requested to
revise his proposals with
reference to the above observations.
and submit a further report
in the matter

4/11/10/02

12/3/95

Dr Hoblett

To Mr Dr Govindrao Kalar Dt. returned
Enclo.

that the form in which
the Bill has been prepared
in the Deputy's office
is very unsatisfactory.
The Deputy Commissioner
insisted of entering all the
particulars relating to the
claim, refer this office,
for such particulars, to the
Bill submitted by the Sub-
Division officer, (Silchar),
who, in his turn, refers
to the Caveat Statement
attached to the proceedings.
This statement which is
called a Refund Bill, exhibits
the demand, collection &
balance due on account
of Revenue Receipts Heads
from Samvat 1883-84 to Fasali 1339-90
Lands, and then according
to this, a sum of Rs 82-5-3
is to be refunded from Rs
to Govt
is due by him, after

H 1610

1902-03.

FROM J. E. Gubbay Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Genl & Rev. Dept.

Section LR
File No 64-1894
Serial No 3

Dated 21st
Despatched 22nd May 1903.
Received

General No. 4820
No. 794

Enclosure No. Two of Ver. file.

Furnishing further particulars re the refund of Rs: 82-8— in favor of one Bhagavata Ramachendria in the Maddalkere village, Bagepalli Taluk.

Audit
L
25.5.03

R 1497

Please find the revenue record
Please find the file.
4/6.

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
Kolar District
Dated 2nd May 1903.

From:—
F. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

To:—
H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
Secretary to the Govt.
of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,—

With reference to Government Proceedings No. R. 3197 of 4th October 1902, calling for further particulars in re the refund of Rs. 82-8-0 in favor of one Bhagavata Ramachandraia on account of his lands under "Vattagavad" tank of Avanthamana Kere in the Madakkane village of the Bagepally Taluk, I have the honor to state that sanction for the grant of "Chowthayee" remission for this land was communicated in the "Sowramari" (1895-89) from which year "Chowthayee" amount is being deducted in the accounts.

2. The Survey Settlement having been introduced—

introduced into the Bagepalli Taluk in the year "Parthiva" (1885-86) a question arose as to the period from which the order of remission above referred to should be given effect to. The Survey Superintendent in his yadi No. 3364 dated 6.11.1887 stated that the remission should be given from the year in which settlement took place.

3. In accordance with the above, Bhagavan Ramachendria claimed the remission at the rate of Rs: 27-8-0, per annum. Rs: 82-8- being "Chowthaya" amount for 3 years — from Parthiva to Sarvajithu remained charged against his Khate.

4. In the year Parthiva (1885-86), Rs 218-8-9 were paid by him in full hay-ment of his Kandayam from which no deduction whatever was made. He is therefore entitled to the refund of the "Chowthaya" amount of Rs: 27-8- paid by him - for which a refund bill is submitted herewith for sanction.

5. As regards the remaining sum of Rs: 55/- being the "Chowthaya" amount for "Vya" (1886-87) and Sarvajithu (1887-88), I beg to state

to state that in the year (1886-87) nothing is reported to have been recovered from him, but in "Sarvajith" (1887-88) Rs: 23-8-0 were paid in part payment of his sundry account for the said two years leaving a balance of Rs: 47-8-3 against his Khate. This sum minus Rs: 55/- which should have been deducted from the account and Rs: 27-8-- due to him on account of excess payment was paid in 1888-89 and 1889-90 along with the demand for those years. Since the "Chowtraja" amount of Rs: 55/- for 1886-87 and 87-88 brought to demand has not been deducted from the accounts, I now submit for sanction a remission statement for Rs: 55/-

6. The total amount of arrears against Ramachandria's Khate up to the end of "Plava" (1901-02) deducting the amount subsequently recovered from him aggregates Rs 82. 3. 3 (vide blank extract for "Plava" in the file).

This will be adjusted as soon as the refund of Rs: 27-8-- and remission of Rs: 55/- are sanctioned keeping back to the Khatedar Rs: 0-4-7 due to him.

7. The

7. The Vernacular papers ending with the Sub-Divisional Officer's yadi No. 441 dated 8th April 1903 are enclosed for kind reference.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

H Dasse

Deputy Commissioner

Dasse

To.

The Dy: Coll

Section LR
File No 64-1894
Serial No 4

no: 7(2)67 dt 24/7/03

Date. 29.7.03.

Enclosure. (one File & V.P)

Correspondence ending with his letter no: 4820/794 dt 21.5.03, submitting a refund bill for Rs 27-8-0 in favor of Bhagavatha Ramachendrayya, Bagepalli Taluk.

With reference to the above, has the honor to observe as follows:—

On the recommendation of the supdt: of the Impl Rev: Survey, Govt: in their order no: Camp 909 dt 3.9.88 Sanctioned the remission

Remission

of Aswatthamma

in the Maddalakkanē

village of the Bagepalli Hk:-

S. no:	extent.	Assessment
	A. G.	Rs. Rs Rs
29.	6-6.	33-0-0.
33.	6-37.	42-4-0.
54.	6-21.	36-12-0.
55.	8-5	43-0-0.
64.	1-20.	5-8-0
Total.	29-9.	160-8-0

In the extract from Phasal Patrika of 29.11.88, forwarded by the Amildar with his yadi number 341 of 11.11.93, the particulars of the lands on account of which remission is said to be granted are shown thus: ——.

S. no:	Cultivable extent.	Asstt:
29.	3A-1G.	17-0-0
33.	4A-27G.	29-0-0
54.	6A-33G.	37-0-0
Total		83-0-0.

In the last column of this

extract, the aggregate assess-
-ment of these 3 nos: is put
down as Rs 113/- providing
for a remission of Rs 28/-
In the Khata extract for the
year Plava, 1900-1, the lands
are described as follows:—

S.no:	Extent.	Assessment
29	3-1	17-0-0.
33	4-27	29-0-0.
54(1)	1-16	7-8-0.

It should be clearly explained
how the various changes
noted above have been brought
about & how the amount of
Rs 27-8-0 as the remission
to be allowed is arrived
at.

The Kannada papers are
herewith returned.

By order

For the
3 fruits
have been
able to get
this drafted
K.S
23/7.

K
23/7/07

In Secretary